arXiv:1405.1341v1 [math.CV] 5 May 2014

CANONICAL CARTAN CONNECTION FOR 4-DIMENSIONAL CR-MANIFOLDS BELONGING TO GENERAL CLASS II

SAMUEL POCCHIOLA

ABSTRACT

We study the equivalence problem for 4-dimensional CR-manifolds of CR-dimension 1 and codimension 2 which have been referred to as belonging to general class II in [9], and which are also known as Engel CR-manifolds. We construct a canonical Cartan connection on such CR-manifolds through Cartan equivalence's method, thus providing an alternative approach to the results contained in [1]. In particular, we give the explicit expression of 4 biholomorphic invariants, the annulation of which is a necessary and sufficient condition for an Engel manifold to be locally biholomorphic to Beloshapka's cubic in $\mathbb{C}^3$.

1. INTRODUCTION

As highlighted by Henri Poincaré [14] in 1907, the (local) biholomorphic equivalence problem between two submanifolds M and M' of $\mathbb{C}^N$ is to determine whether or not there exists a (local) biholomorphism ϕ of $\mathbb{C}^N$ such that $\phi(M) = M'$. Elie Cartan [2, 3] solved this problem for hypersurfaces $M^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ in 1932, as he constructed a "hyperspherical connection" on such hypersurfaces by using the powerful technique which is now referred to as Cartan's equivalence method.

Given a manifold M and some geometric data specified on M, which usually appears as a G-structure on M (i.e. a reduction of the bundle of coframes of M), Cartan's equivalence method seeks to provide a principal bundle P on M together with a coframe ω of 1-forms on P which is adapted to the geometric structure of M in the following sense: an isomorphism between two such geometric structures M and M' lifts to a unique isomorphism between P and P' which sends ω on ω'. The equivalence problem between M and M' is thus reduced to an equivalence problem between $\{e\}$-structures, which is well understood [10, 15].

We recall that a CR-manifold M is a real manifold endowed with a subbundle L of $\mathbb{C} \otimes TM$ of even rank $2n$ such that

(1) $L \cap \overline{L} = \{0\}$

(2) L is formally integrable, i.e. $[L,\, L] \subset L$.

The integer n is the CR-dimension of M and $k = \dim M - 2n$ is the codimension of M. In a recent attempt [9] to solve the equivalence problem for CR-manifolds up to dimension 5, it has been shown that one can restrict the study to six different general classes of CR-manifolds of dimension ≤ 5, which have been referred to as general classes I, II, III$_1$, III$_2$, IV$_1$ and IV$_2$. The aim of this paper is to provide a solution to the equivalence problem for CR-manifolds which belong to general class II, that is the CR-manifolds of dimension 4 and of CR-dimension 1 whose CR-bundle L satisfy the additional non-degeneracy condition:

$$\mathbb{C} \otimes TM = L + \overline{L} + [L,\, \overline{L}] + [L, [L,\, \overline{L}]],$$

meaning that $\mathbb{C} \otimes TM$ is spanned by L, $\overline{L}$ and their Lie brackets up to order 3.

This problem has already been solved by Beloshapka, Ezhov and Schmalz in [1], where the CR-manifolds we study are called Engel manifolds. The present paper provides thus an alternative solution to the results contained in [1]. The main result is the following:

Theorem 1. *Let M be a CR-manifold belonging to general class* II*. There exists a 5-dimensional subbundle P of the bundle of coframes $\mathbb{C} \otimes F(M)$ of M and a coframe $\omega := (\Lambda, \sigma, \rho, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ on P such that any CR-diffeomorphism h of M lifts to a bundle isomorphism h^* of P which satisfy $h^*(\omega) = \omega$. Moreover the structure equations of ω on P are of the form:*

$$\begin{aligned}
d\sigma &= 3\,\Lambda \wedge \sigma + \rho \wedge \zeta + \rho \wedge \overline{\zeta},\\
d\rho &= 2\,\Lambda \wedge \rho + i\,\zeta \wedge \overline{\zeta}\\
d\zeta &= \Lambda \wedge \zeta + \mathfrak{I}_1\,\sigma \wedge \rho + \mathfrak{I}_2\,\sigma \wedge \zeta + \mathfrak{I}_3\,\sigma \wedge \overline{\zeta} + \mathfrak{I}_4\,\rho \wedge \zeta + \mathfrak{I}_5\,\rho \wedge \overline{\zeta},\\
d\overline{\zeta} &= \Lambda \wedge \overline{\zeta} + \overline{\mathfrak{I}_1}\,\sigma \wedge \rho + \overline{\mathfrak{I}_3}\,\sigma \wedge \zeta + \overline{\mathfrak{I}_2}\,\sigma \wedge \overline{\zeta} + \overline{\mathfrak{I}_5}\,\rho \wedge \zeta + \overline{\mathfrak{I}_4}\,\rho \wedge \overline{\zeta},\\
d\Lambda &= \frac{i}{2}\mathfrak{I}_1\,\sigma \wedge \overline{\zeta} - \frac{i}{2}\,\overline{\mathfrak{I}_1}\,\sigma \wedge \zeta - \frac{1}{3}\left(\mathfrak{I}_2 + \overline{\mathfrak{I}_3}\right)\rho \wedge \zeta - \frac{1}{3}\left(\overline{\mathfrak{I}_2} + \mathfrak{I}_3\right)\rho \wedge \zeta\\
&\quad + \mathfrak{I}_0\,\sigma \wedge \zeta\ ,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{I}_0$, $\mathfrak{I}_1$, $\mathfrak{I}_2$, $\mathfrak{I}_3$, $\mathfrak{I}_4$, $\mathfrak{I}_5$, are functions on P.

An example of CR-manifold belonging to general class II is provided by Beloshapka's cubic B $\subset \mathbb{C}^3$, which is defined by the equations:

$$\mathsf{B}: \qquad \begin{aligned} w_1 &= \overline{w_1} + 2\,i\,z\overline{z},\\ w_2 &= \overline{w_2} + 2\,i\,z\overline{z}\,(z + \overline{z})\,. \end{aligned}$$

Cartan's equivalence method has been applied to Beloshapka's cubic in [12] where it has been shown that the coframe $(\Lambda, \sigma, \rho, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ of theorem 1 satisfy

the simplified structure equations:

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma &= 3\,\Lambda\wedge\sigma + \rho\wedge\zeta + \rho\wedge\overline{\zeta}\,,\\ d\rho &= 2\,\Lambda\wedge\rho + i\;\zeta\wedge\overline{\zeta}\,,\\ d\zeta &= \Lambda\wedge\zeta\,,\\ d\overline{\zeta} &= \Lambda\wedge\overline{\zeta}\,,\\ d\Lambda &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

corresponding to the case where the biholomorphic invariants $\mathfrak{I}_i$ vanish identically. From this result together with theorem 1, we deduce the existence of a Cartan connection on CR-manifolds belonging to general class II in section 4.

We start in section 2 with the construction of a canonical G-structure P^1 on M, (e.g. a subbundle of the bundle of coframes of M), which encodes the equivalence problem for M under CR-automorphisms in the following sense: a diffeomorphism

$$h : M \longrightarrow M$$

is a CR-automorphism of M if and only if

$$h^* : P^1 \longrightarrow P^1$$

is a G-structure isomorphism of P^1. We refer to [9, 6, 7] for details on the results summarized in this section and to [15] for an introduction to G-structures. Section 3 is devoted to reduce successively P^1 to three subbundles:

$$P^4 \subset P^3 \subset P^2 \subset P^1,$$

which are still adapted to the biholomorphic equivalence problem for M. We use Cartan equivalence method, for which we refer to [10]. Eventually a Cartan connection is constructed on P^4 in section 4.

2. Initial G-structure

Let M be a 4-dimensional CR-manifold belonging to general class II and $\mathscr{L}$ be a local generator of the CR-bundle L of M. As M belongs to general class II, the two vector fields $\mathscr{T}$, $\mathscr{S}$, defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{T} &:= i\,[\mathscr{L}, \overline{\mathscr{L}}],\\ \mathscr{S} &:= [\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{T}], \end{aligned}$$

are such that:

$$4 = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\mathscr{L}, \overline{\mathscr{L}}, \mathscr{T}, \mathscr{S}\right),$$

namely

$$\left(\mathscr{L}, \overline{\mathscr{L}}, \mathscr{T}, \mathscr{S}\right) \text{ is a frame on } M.$$

As a result there exist two functions A and B such that:

$$\overline{\mathscr{S}} = A \cdot \mathscr{T} + B \cdot \mathscr{S}.$$

From the fact that $\overline{\overline{\mathscr{S}}} = \mathscr{S}$, the functions A and B satisfy the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} B\overline{B} &= 1, \\ \overline{A} + \overline{B}A &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

There also exist two functions P, Q such that:

$$[\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{S}] = P \cdot \mathscr{T} + Q \cdot \mathscr{S}.$$

The conjugate of P and Q, $\overline{P}$ and $\overline{Q}$, are given by the relations:
(2)

$$\overline{Q} = \mathscr{L}(B) + B\,Q + 2A + \frac{\overline{\mathscr{L}}(B)}{B},$$

$$\overline{P} = B\,\mathscr{L}(A) - A\,\mathscr{L}(B) - B\,A\,Q - A^2 - A\,\frac{\overline{\mathscr{L}}(B)}{B} + \overline{\mathscr{L}}(A) + B^2\,P.$$

The four functions A, B, P, Q appear to be fundamental as all other Lie brackets between the vector fields $\mathscr{L}$, $\overline{\mathscr{L}}$, $\mathscr{T}$ and $\mathscr{S}$ are expressed in terms of these five functions and their $\{\mathscr{L}, \overline{\mathscr{L}}\}$-derivatives ([7]).

In the case of an embedded CR-manifold $M \subset \mathbb{C}^3$, we can give an explicit formula for the fundamental vector field $\mathscr{L}$, and hence for the functions A, B, P, Q, in terms of a graphing function of M. We refer to [8] for details on this question. Let us just mention that the submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ is represented in local coordinates:

$$(z, w_1, w_2) := (x + i\,y,\ u_1 + i\,v_1,\ u_2 + i\,v_2)$$

as a graph:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= \phi_1(x, y, u_1, u_2) \\ v_2 &= \phi_2(x, y, u_1, u_2). \end{aligned}$$

There exists then a unique local generator $\mathscr{L}$ of $T^{1,0}M$ of the form:

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + A^1\,\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} + A^2\,\frac{\partial}{\partial u_2}$$

having conjugate:

$$\overline{\mathscr{L}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} + \overline{A^1}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} + \overline{A^2}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial u_2}$$

which is a generator of $T^{0,1}M$, where the functions A^1 and A^2 are given by the determinants:

$$A^1 := \frac{\begin{vmatrix} -\phi_{1,z} & \phi_{1,u_2} \\ -\phi_{2,z} & i+\phi_{2,u_2} \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} i+\phi_{1,u_1} & \phi_{1,u_2} \\ \phi_{2,u_1} & i+\phi_{2,u_2} \end{vmatrix}}, \qquad A^2 := \frac{\begin{vmatrix} i+\phi_{1,u_1} & -\phi_{1,z} \\ \phi_{2,u_1} & -\phi_{2,z} \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} i+\phi_{1,u_1} & \phi_{1,u_2} \\ \phi_{2,u_1} & i+\phi_{2,u_2} \end{vmatrix}}.$$

Returning to the general case of abstract CR-manifolds, let us introduce the coframe

$$\omega_0 := \left(\sigma_0, \rho_0, \zeta_0, \overline{\zeta}_0\right),$$

as the dual coframe of $\left(\mathscr{S}, \mathscr{T}, \mathscr{L}, \overline{\mathscr{L}}\right)$. We have [7]:

Lemma 1. *The structure equations enjoyed by ω_0 are of the form:*

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma_0 &= H\,\sigma_0 \wedge \rho_0 + F\,\sigma_0 \wedge \overline{\zeta}_0 + Q\,\sigma_0 \wedge \zeta_0 + B\,\rho_0 \wedge \overline{\zeta}_0 + \rho_0 \wedge \zeta_0, \\ d\rho_0 &= G\,\sigma_0 \wedge \rho_0 + E\,\sigma_0 \wedge \overline{\zeta}_0 + P\,\sigma_0 \wedge \zeta_0 + A\,\rho_0 \wedge \overline{\zeta}_0 + i\,\zeta_0 \wedge \overline{\zeta}_0, \\ d\zeta_0 &= 0, \\ d\overline{\zeta}_0 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the four functions:

$$E,\ F,\ G,\ H,$$

can be expressed in terms of the four fundamental functions:

$$A,\ B,\ P,\ Q,$$

and their $\{\mathscr{L}, \overline{\mathscr{L}}\}$-derivatives as:

$$\begin{aligned} E &:= \mathscr{L}(A) + B\,P, \\ F &:= \mathscr{L}(B) + B\,Q + A, \\ G &:= i\,\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{L}(A)) + i\,P\,\mathscr{L}(B) - i\,\mathscr{L}(P) - i\,Q\,\mathscr{L}(A) + i\,P\,\mathscr{L}(B) + i\,B\mathscr{L}(P), \\ H &:= i\,\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{L}(B)) + i\,Q\,\mathscr{L}(B) + i\,B\,\mathscr{L}(Q) + 2i\,\mathscr{L}(A) - i\,\mathscr{L}(Q). \end{aligned}$$

Let $h : M \longrightarrow M$ be a CR-automorphism of M. As we have

$$h_*(L) = L,$$

there exists a non-vanishing complex-valued function a on M such that:

$$h_*(\mathscr{L}) = \mathsf{a}\,\mathscr{L}.$$

From the definition of $\mathscr{T}$, $\mathscr{S}$, and the invariance

$$h_*([X,Y]) = [h_*(X), h_*(Y)]$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M, we easily get the existence of four functions

$$\mathsf{b}, \mathsf{c}, \mathsf{d}, \mathsf{e} : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

such that:

$$h_* \begin{pmatrix} \mathscr{L} \\ \overline{\mathscr{L}} \\ \mathscr{T} \\ \mathscr{S} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{a} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\mathsf{a}} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathsf{b} & \overline{\mathsf{b}} & \mathsf{a}\overline{\mathsf{a}} & 0 \\ \mathsf{e} & \mathsf{d} & \mathsf{c} & \mathsf{a}^2\overline{\mathsf{a}} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mathscr{L} \\ \overline{\mathscr{L}} \\ \mathscr{T} \\ \mathscr{S} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is summarized in the following lemma [6]:

Lemma 2. *Let $h : M \longrightarrow M$ a CR-automorphism of M and let G_1 be the subgroup of $\mathsf{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$*

$$G_1 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{a}^2\overline{\mathsf{a}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathsf{c} & \mathsf{a}\overline{\mathsf{a}} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathsf{d} & \mathsf{b} & \mathsf{a} & 0 \\ \mathsf{e} & \overline{\mathsf{b}} & 0 & \overline{\mathsf{a}} \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathsf{a} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \ \mathsf{b}, \mathsf{c}, \mathsf{d}, \mathsf{e} \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

Then the pullback ω of ω_0 by h, $\omega := h^*\omega_0$, satisfies:

$$\omega = g \cdot \omega_0,$$

where g is smooth (locally defined) function $M \stackrel{g}{\longrightarrow} G_1$.

This motivates the introduction of the subbundle P^1 of the bundle of coframes on M constituted by the coframes ω of the form

$$\omega := g \cdot \omega_0, \qquad g \in G_1.$$

The next section is devoted to reduce successively P^1 to three subbundles:

$$P^4 \subset P^3 \subset P^2 \subset P^1,$$

which are adapted to the biholomorphic equivalence problem for M.

3. Reductions of P^1

The coframe ω_0 gives a natural (local) trivialisation $P^1 \stackrel{tr}{\longrightarrow} M \times G_1$ from which we may consider any differential form on M (resp. G_1) as a differential form on P^1 through the pullback by the first (resp. the second) component of tr. With this identification, the structure equations of P^1 are naturally obtained by the formula:

$$d\omega = dg \cdot g^{-1} \wedge \omega + g \cdot d\omega_0. \tag{3}$$

The term $g \cdot d\omega_0$ contains the so-called torsion coefficients of P^1. A 1-form $\widetilde{\alpha}$ on P^1 is called a modified Maurer-Cartan form if its restriction to any fiber of P^1 is a Maurer-Cartan form of G_1, or equivalently, if it is of the form:

$$\widetilde{\alpha} := \alpha - x_\sigma\, \sigma - x_\rho\, \rho - x_\zeta\, \zeta - x_{\overline{\zeta}}\, \overline{\zeta},$$

where x_σ, x_ρ, x_ζ, $x_{\overline{\zeta}}$, are arbitrary complex-valued functions on M and where α is a Maurer-Cartan form of G_1.

A basis for the Maurer-Cartan forms of G_1 is given by the following 1-forms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha^1 &:= \frac{d\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a}},\\
\alpha^2 &:= -\frac{\mathsf{b}d\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a}^2\overline{\mathsf{a}}} + \frac{d\mathsf{b}}{\mathsf{a}\overline{\mathsf{a}}},\\
\alpha^3 &:= -\frac{\mathsf{c}d\mathsf{a}}{\overline{\mathsf{a}}\,\mathsf{a}^3} - \frac{\mathsf{c}d\overline{\mathsf{a}}}{\overline{\mathsf{a}}^2\mathsf{a}^2} + \frac{d\mathsf{c}}{\mathsf{a}^2\overline{\mathsf{a}}},\\
\alpha^4 &= -\frac{(\mathsf{d}\mathsf{a}\overline{\mathsf{a}} - \mathsf{b}\mathsf{c})\,d\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a}^4\overline{\mathsf{a}}^2} - \frac{\mathsf{c}d\mathsf{b}}{\mathsf{a}^3\overline{\mathsf{a}}^2} + \frac{d\mathsf{d}}{\mathsf{a}^2\overline{\mathsf{a}}},\\
\alpha^5 &= -\frac{\left(\mathsf{e}\mathsf{a}\overline{\mathsf{a}} - \overline{\mathsf{b}}\,\mathsf{c}\right)d\overline{\mathsf{a}}}{\mathsf{a}^3\overline{\mathsf{a}}^3} - \frac{\mathsf{c}d\overline{\mathsf{b}}}{\mathsf{a}^3\overline{\mathsf{a}}^2} + \frac{d\mathsf{e}}{\mathsf{a}^2\overline{\mathsf{a}}},
\end{aligned}$$

together with their conjugate.

We derive the structure equations of P^1 from the relations (3), from which we extract the expression of $d\sigma$:

$$\begin{aligned}
d\sigma = {} & 2\,\alpha^1\wedge\sigma + \overline{\alpha^1}\wedge\sigma\\
& + T^{\sigma}_{\sigma\rho}\,\sigma\wedge\rho - T^{\sigma}_{\sigma\zeta}\,\sigma\wedge\zeta - T^{\sigma}_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}}\,\sigma\wedge\overline{\zeta} + \rho\wedge\zeta + \frac{\mathsf{a}}{\overline{\mathsf{a}}}\,B\;\rho\wedge\overline{\zeta}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

or equivalently:

$$d\sigma = 2\,\widetilde{\alpha}^1\wedge\sigma + \overline{\widetilde{\alpha}^1}\wedge\sigma + \rho\wedge\zeta + \frac{\mathsf{a}}{\overline{\mathsf{a}}}\,B\;\rho\wedge\overline{\zeta}\,,$$

for a modified Maurer-Cartan form $\widetilde{\alpha}^1$. The coefficient

$$\frac{\mathsf{a}}{\overline{\mathsf{a}}}\,B,$$

which can not be absorbed for any choice of the modified Maurer-Cartan form $\widetilde{\alpha}^1$, is referred to as an essential torsion coefficient. From standard results on Cartan theory (see [10, 15]), a diffeomorphism of M is an isomorphism of the G_1-structure P^1 if and only if it is an isomorphism of the reduced bundle $P^2 \subset P^1$ consisting of those coframes ω on M such that

$$\frac{\mathsf{a}}{\overline{\mathsf{a}}}\,B = 1.$$

This is equivalent to the normalization:

$$\overline{\mathsf{a}} = \mathsf{a}B.$$

A coframe $\omega \in P^2$ is related to the coframe ω_0 by the relations:

$$\begin{cases}
\sigma = \mathsf{a}^3\,B\,\sigma_0\\
\rho = \mathsf{c}\,\sigma_0 + \mathsf{a}^2\,B\,\rho_0\\
\zeta = \mathsf{d}\,\sigma_0 + \mathsf{b}\,\rho_0 + \mathsf{a}\,\zeta_0\\
\overline{\zeta} = \mathsf{e}\,\sigma_0 + \overline{\mathsf{b}}\,\rho_0 + \mathsf{a}\,B\,\overline{\zeta}_0,
\end{cases}$$

which are equivalent to:

$$\begin{cases} \sigma = \mathsf{a}'^{\,3}\,\sigma_1 \\ \rho = \mathsf{c}'\,\sigma_1 + \mathsf{a}'^{\,2}\,\rho_1 \\ \zeta = \mathsf{d}'\,\sigma_1 + \mathsf{b}\,\rho_1 + \mathsf{a}'\,\zeta_1 \\ \overline{\zeta} = \mathsf{e}'\,\sigma_1 + \overline{\mathsf{b}}\,\rho_1 + \mathsf{a}'\,\overline{\zeta}_1, \end{cases}$$

where:

$$\sigma_1 := \frac{\sigma_0}{B^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \qquad \rho_1 := \rho_0, \qquad \zeta_1 := \frac{\zeta_0}{B^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

and

$$x' := x \cdot B^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad \text{for} \quad x = \mathsf{a},\, \mathsf{c},\, \mathsf{d},\, \mathsf{e}.$$

We notice that a' is a real parameter, and that σ_1 is a real 1-form. Let ω_1 be the coframe $\omega_1 := \left(\sigma_1, \rho_1, \zeta_1, \overline{\zeta}_1\right)$, and G_2 be the subgroup of G_1:

$$G_2 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{a}^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathsf{c} & \mathsf{a}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathsf{d} & \mathsf{b} & \mathsf{a} & 0 \\ \mathsf{e} & \overline{\mathsf{b}} & 0 & \mathsf{a} \end{pmatrix},\ \mathsf{a} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\},\ \mathsf{b}, \mathsf{c}, \mathsf{d}, \mathsf{e} \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

A coframe ω on M belongs to P^2 if and only if there is a local function $g : M \xrightarrow{g} G_2$ such that $\omega = g \cdot \omega_1$, namely P^2 is a G_2 structure on M.

The Maurer-Cartan forms of G_2 are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^1 &:= \frac{d\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a}}, \\ \beta^2 &:= -\frac{\mathsf{b}d\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a}^3} + \frac{d\mathsf{b}}{\mathsf{a}^2}, \\ \beta^3 &:= -2\,\frac{\mathsf{c}d\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a}^4} + \frac{d\mathsf{c}}{\mathsf{a}^3}, \\ \beta^4 &= -\frac{\left(\mathsf{d}\mathsf{a}^2 - \mathsf{b}\mathsf{c}\right)d\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a}^6} - \frac{\mathsf{c}d\mathsf{b}}{\mathsf{a}^5} + \frac{d\mathsf{d}}{\mathsf{a}^3}, \\ \beta^5 &= -\frac{\left(\mathsf{e}\mathsf{a}^2 - \overline{\mathsf{b}}\,\mathsf{c}\right)d\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a}^6} - \frac{\mathsf{c}d\overline{\mathsf{b}}}{\mathsf{a}^5} + \frac{d\mathsf{e}}{\mathsf{a}^3}, \end{aligned}$$

together with $\overline{\beta^2}$, $\overline{\beta^3}$, $\overline{\beta^4}$, $\overline{\beta^5}$. Using formula (3), we get the structure equations of P^2:

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma = 3\,\beta^1 \wedge \sigma \\ + U^{\sigma}_{\sigma\rho}\,\sigma \wedge \rho + U^{\sigma}_{\sigma\zeta}\,\sigma \wedge \zeta + U^{\sigma}_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}}\,\sigma \wedge \overline{\zeta} + \rho \wedge \zeta + \rho \wedge \overline{\zeta} \end{aligned}$$

$$d\rho = 2\beta^1 \wedge \rho + \beta^3 \wedge \sigma \\ + U^\rho_{\sigma\rho}\,\sigma \wedge \rho + U^\rho_{\sigma\zeta}\,\sigma \wedge \zeta + U^\rho_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}}\,\sigma \wedge \overline{\zeta} \\ + U^\rho_{\rho\zeta}\,\rho \wedge \zeta + U^\rho_{\rho\overline{\zeta}}\,\rho \wedge \overline{\zeta} + i\,\zeta \wedge \overline{\zeta},$$

$$d\zeta = \beta^1 \wedge \zeta + \beta^2 \wedge \rho + \beta^4 \wedge \sigma \\ + U^\zeta_{\sigma\rho}\,\sigma \wedge \rho + U^\zeta_{\sigma\zeta}\,\sigma \wedge \zeta + U^\zeta_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}}\,\sigma \wedge \overline{\zeta} + U^\zeta_{\rho\zeta}\,\rho \wedge \zeta \\ + U^\zeta_{\rho\overline{\zeta}}\,\rho \wedge \overline{\zeta} + U^\zeta_{\zeta\overline{\zeta}}\,\zeta \wedge \overline{\zeta}.$$

Introducing the modified Maurer-Cartan forms:

$$\widetilde{\beta}^i = \beta^i - y_\sigma\,\sigma - y^i_\rho\,\rho - y^i_\zeta\,\zeta - y^i_{\overline{\zeta}}\,\overline{\zeta},$$

the structure equations rewrite:

$$d\sigma = 3\,\widetilde{\beta}^1 \wedge \sigma \\ + \left(U^\sigma_{\sigma\rho} - 3\,y^1_\rho\right)\,\sigma \wedge \rho + \left(U^\sigma_{\sigma\zeta} - 3\,y^1_\zeta\right)\,\sigma \wedge \zeta \\ + \left(U^\sigma_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}} - 3\,y^1_{\overline{\zeta}}\right)\,\sigma \wedge \overline{\zeta} + \rho \wedge \zeta + \rho \wedge \overline{\zeta}$$

$$d\rho = 2\widetilde{\beta}^1 \wedge \rho + \widetilde{\beta}^3 \wedge \sigma \\ + \left(U^\rho_{\sigma\rho} + 2\,y^1_\sigma - y^3_\rho\right)\,\sigma \wedge \rho + \left(U^\rho_{\sigma\zeta} - y^3_\zeta\right)\,\sigma \wedge \zeta \\ + \left(U^\rho_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}} - y^3_{\overline{\zeta}}\right)\,\sigma \wedge \overline{\zeta} + \left(U^\rho_{\rho\zeta} - 2\,y^1_\zeta\right)\,\rho \wedge \zeta \\ + \left(U^\rho_{\rho\overline{\zeta}} - 2\,y^1_{\overline{\zeta}}\right)\,\rho \wedge \overline{\zeta} + i\;\zeta \wedge \overline{\zeta}\,,$$

$$d\zeta = \widetilde{\beta^1} \wedge \zeta + \widetilde{\beta^2} \wedge \rho + \widetilde{\beta^4} \wedge \sigma \\ + \left(U^\zeta_{\sigma\rho} + y^2_\sigma - y^4_\rho\right)\,\sigma \wedge \rho + \left(U^\zeta_{\sigma\zeta} + y^1_\sigma - y^4_\zeta\right)\,\sigma \wedge \zeta \\ + \left(U^\zeta_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}} - y^4_{\overline{\zeta}}\right)\,\sigma \wedge \overline{\zeta} + \left(U^\zeta_{\rho\zeta} + y^1_\rho - y^2_\zeta\right)\,\rho \wedge \zeta \\ + \left(U^\zeta_{\rho\overline{\zeta}} - y^2_{\overline{\zeta}}\right)\,\rho \wedge \overline{\zeta} + \left(U^\zeta_{\zeta\overline{\zeta}} - y^1_{\overline{\zeta}}\right)\,\zeta \wedge \overline{\zeta}\,,$$

which leads to the following absorbtion equations:

$$\begin{array}{rrr}
3\,y^1_\rho = U^\sigma_{\sigma\rho}, & 3\,y^1_\zeta = U^\sigma_{\sigma\zeta}, & 3\,y^1_{\overline{\zeta}} = U^\sigma_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}},\\
-2\,y^1_\sigma + y^3_\rho = U^\rho_{\sigma\rho}, & y^3_\zeta = U^\rho_{\sigma\zeta}, & y^3_{\overline{\zeta}} = U^\rho_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}},\\
2\,y^1_\zeta = U^\rho_{\rho\zeta}, & 2\,y^1_{\overline{\zeta}} = U^\rho_{\rho\overline{\zeta}}, & -y^2_\sigma + y^4_\rho = U^\zeta_{\sigma\rho},\\
-y^1_\sigma + y^4_\zeta = U^\zeta_{\sigma\zeta}, & y^4_{\overline{\zeta}} = U^\zeta_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}}, & -y^1_\rho + y^2_\zeta = U^\zeta_{\rho\zeta},\\
y^2_{\overline{\zeta}} = U^\zeta_{\rho\overline{\zeta}}, & y^1_{\overline{\zeta}} = U^\zeta_{\zeta\overline{\zeta}}. &
\end{array}$$

Eliminating $y^1_{\overline{\zeta}}$ among these equations leads to:

$$U^\zeta_{\zeta\overline{\zeta}} = \frac{1}{2}\,U^\rho_{\rho\overline{\zeta}} = \frac{1}{3}\,U^\sigma_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}},$$

from which we deduce the following normalizations:

$$\mathsf{c} = \mathsf{a}^2\,\mathbf{C}_0,$$

and

$$\mathsf{b} = \mathsf{a}\,\mathbf{B}_0,$$

where:

$$\mathbf{C}_0 := \left(\frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\mathscr{L}(B)}{B^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{1}{2}\,Q B^{\frac{1}{2}}\right),$$

and

$$\mathbf{B}_0 := \left(\frac{i}{3}\,\frac{\overline{\mathscr{L}}(B)}{B^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{i}{3}\,\frac{A}{B^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{i}{6}\,B^{\frac{1}{2}}Q - \frac{i}{6}\,\frac{\mathscr{L}(B)}{B^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right).$$

We introduce the coframe $\omega_2 := \big(\sigma_2, \rho_2, \zeta_2, \overline{\zeta}_2\big)$ on M, defined by:

$$\begin{cases}
\sigma_2 := \sigma_1,\\
\rho_2 := \rho_1 + \mathbf{C}_0\,\sigma_1,\\
\zeta_2 := \zeta_1 + \mathbf{B}_0\,\rho_1,
\end{cases}$$

and the 3-dimensional subgroup $G_3 \subset G_2$:

$$G_3 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
\mathsf{a}^3 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & \mathsf{a}^2 & 0 & 0\\
\mathsf{d} & 0 & \mathsf{a} & 0\\
\overline{\mathsf{d}} & 0 & 0 & \mathsf{a}
\end{pmatrix},\ \mathsf{a} \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\},\ \mathsf{d} \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

The normalizations:

$$\mathsf{b} := \mathsf{a}\,\mathbf{B}_0, \qquad\qquad \mathsf{c} := \mathsf{a}^2\,\mathbf{C}_0,$$

amount to consider the subbundle $P^3 \subset P^2$ consisting of those coframes ω of the form

$$\omega := g\cdot\omega_2, \qquad \text{where } g \text{ is a function } \ g : M \xrightarrow{\ g\ } G_3.$$

A basis of the Maurer Cartan forms of G_3 is given by:

$$\gamma^1 := \frac{d\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a}}, \qquad \gamma^2 := -\frac{\mathsf{d}d\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a}^4} + \frac{d\mathsf{d}}{\mathsf{a}^3}, \qquad \overline{\gamma}_2.$$

The structure equations of P^3 are:

$$\begin{aligned}
d\sigma &= 3\,\gamma^1 \wedge \sigma \\
&\qquad + V^{\sigma}_{\sigma\rho}\,\sigma\wedge\rho + V^{\sigma}_{\sigma\zeta}\,\sigma\wedge\zeta + V^{\sigma}_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}}\,\sigma\wedge\overline{\zeta} + \rho\wedge\zeta + \rho\wedge\overline{\zeta},\\
d\rho &= 2\gamma^1\wedge\rho + V^{\rho}_{\sigma\rho}\,\sigma\wedge\rho + V^{\rho}_{\sigma\zeta}\,\sigma\wedge\zeta + V^{\rho}_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}}\,\sigma\wedge\overline{\zeta} \\
&\qquad + V^{\rho}_{\rho\zeta}\,\rho\wedge\zeta + V^{\rho}_{\rho\overline{\zeta}}\,\rho\wedge\overline{\zeta} + i\,\zeta\wedge\overline{\zeta},\\
d\zeta &= \gamma^1\wedge\zeta + \gamma^2\wedge\sigma \\
&\qquad + V^{\zeta}_{\sigma\rho}\,\sigma\wedge\rho + V^{\zeta}_{\sigma\zeta}\,\sigma\wedge\zeta + V^{\zeta}_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}}\,\sigma\wedge\overline{\zeta} + V^{\zeta}_{\rho\zeta}\,\rho\wedge\zeta \\
&\qquad + V^{\zeta}_{\rho\overline{\zeta}}\,\rho\wedge\overline{\zeta} + V^{\zeta}_{\zeta\overline{\zeta}}\,\zeta\wedge\overline{\zeta}.\\
d\overline{\zeta} &= \gamma^1\wedge\zeta + \gamma^3\wedge\sigma \\
&\qquad + V^{\overline{\zeta}}_{\sigma\rho}\,\sigma\wedge\rho + V^{\overline{\zeta}}_{\sigma\zeta}\,\sigma\wedge\zeta + V^{\overline{\zeta}}_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}}\,\sigma\wedge\overline{\zeta} + V^{\overline{\zeta}}_{\rho\zeta}\,\rho\wedge\zeta \\
&\qquad + V^{\overline{\zeta}}_{\rho\overline{\zeta}}\,\rho\wedge\overline{\zeta} + V^{\overline{\zeta}}_{\zeta\overline{\zeta}}\,\zeta\wedge\overline{\zeta}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to notice that $V^{\rho}_{\sigma\zeta}$ and $V^{\rho}_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}}$ are two essential torsion coefficients. The first one leads to the normalization:

$$\overline{\mathsf{d}} = \mathsf{a}\,\overline{\mathbf{D}}_0,$$

with

$$\overline{\mathbf{D}}_0 := \frac{i}{2}\,\frac{\mathscr{L}(B)^2}{B} + \frac{i}{3}\,Q\mathscr{L}(B) - \frac{i}{2}\,\mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{L}(B)\right) - \frac{i}{2}\,B\mathscr{L}(Q) + \frac{i}{2}\,A\frac{\mathscr{L}(B)}{B} + \frac{i}{6}\,AQ + iBP,$$

while the second essential torsion coefficient gives the normalization:

$$\mathsf{d} = \mathsf{a}\,\mathbf{D}_0,$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{D}_0 := &-\frac{2i}{3}\,\mathscr{L}(B)\,Q - \frac{i}{6}\,\frac{\mathscr{L}(B)\,A}{B} - \frac{i}{6}\,A\,Q + \frac{i}{6}\,\frac{\overline{\mathscr{L}}(B)\,Q}{B} - \frac{i}{3}\,\frac{\mathscr{L}(B)^2}{B} - \frac{i}{3}\,B\,Q^2 \\
&- i\,\mathscr{L}(A) - \frac{i}{3}\,\frac{\overline{\mathscr{L}}(B)\,\mathscr{L}(B)}{B^2} + \frac{i}{2}\,\frac{\overline{\mathscr{L}}\left(\mathscr{L}(B)\right)}{B} + \frac{i}{2}\,\overline{\mathscr{L}}(Q) - i\,B\,P.
\end{aligned}$$

The coherency of the above formulae can be checked using the relations (1) and (2).

Let G_4 be the 1-dimensional Lie subgroup of G_3 whose elements g are of the form:

$$g := \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{a}^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathsf{a}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathsf{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathsf{a} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathsf{a} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\},$$

and let $\omega_3 := \big(\sigma_3, \rho_3, \zeta_3, \overline{\zeta}_3\big)$ be the coframe defined on M by:

$$\sigma_3 := \sigma_2, \qquad \rho_3 := \rho_2, \qquad \zeta_3 := \zeta_2 + \mathbf{D}_0\, \sigma_2.$$

The normalization of d is equivalent to the reduction of P^3 to a subbundle P^4 consisting of those coframes ω on M such that:

$$\omega := g \cdot \omega_3, \qquad \text{where } g \text{ is a function } \; g : M \xrightarrow{\;g\;} G_4.$$

The Maurer-Cartan forms of G_4 are spanned by:

$$\alpha := \frac{d\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a}}.$$

Proceeding as in the previous steps, we compute the structure equations of P^4:

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma = {} & 3\,\frac{d\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a}} \wedge \sigma \\ & + W^{\sigma}_{\sigma\rho}\, \sigma \wedge \rho + W^{\sigma}_{\sigma\zeta}\, \sigma \wedge \zeta + W^{\sigma}_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}}\, \sigma \wedge \overline{\zeta} + \rho \wedge \zeta + \rho \wedge \overline{\zeta}, \end{aligned}$$

$$d\rho \;=\; 2\,\frac{d\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a}} \wedge \rho + W^{\rho}_{\sigma\rho}\, \sigma \wedge \rho + W^{\rho}_{\rho\zeta}\, \rho \wedge \zeta + W^{\rho}_{\rho\overline{\zeta}}\, \rho \wedge \overline{\zeta} + i\, \zeta \wedge \overline{\zeta},$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\zeta = {} & \frac{d\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a}} \wedge \zeta \\ & + W^{\zeta}_{\sigma\rho}\, \sigma \wedge \rho + W^{\zeta}_{\sigma\zeta}\, \sigma \wedge \zeta + W^{\zeta}_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}}\, \sigma \wedge \overline{\zeta} + W^{\zeta}_{\rho\zeta}\, \rho \wedge \zeta \\ & \qquad + W^{\zeta}_{\rho\overline{\zeta}}\, \rho \wedge \overline{\zeta} + W^{\zeta}_{\zeta\overline{\zeta}}\, \zeta \wedge \overline{\zeta}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\overline{\zeta} = {} & \frac{d\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a}} \wedge \overline{\zeta} \\ & + W^{\overline{\zeta}}_{\sigma\rho}\, \sigma \wedge \rho + W^{\overline{\zeta}}_{\sigma\zeta}\, \sigma \wedge \zeta + W^{\overline{\zeta}}_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}}\, \sigma \wedge \overline{\zeta} + W^{\overline{\zeta}}_{\rho\zeta}\, \rho \wedge \zeta \\ & \qquad + W^{\overline{\zeta}}_{\rho\overline{\zeta}}\, \rho \wedge \overline{\zeta} + W^{\overline{\zeta}}_{\zeta\overline{\zeta}}\, \zeta \wedge \overline{\zeta}. \end{aligned}$$

Introducing the modified Maurer-Cartan form Λ:

$$\Lambda := \frac{d\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a}} + \frac{W^{\rho}_{\sigma\rho}}{2}\, \rho - \frac{W^{\sigma}_{\sigma\rho}}{3}\, \sigma - \frac{W^{\sigma}_{\sigma\rho}}{3}\, \zeta - \frac{W^{\sigma}_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}}}{3}\, \overline{\zeta},$$

these equations rewrite in the absorbed form as:

(4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\sigma &= 3\,\Lambda\wedge\sigma+\rho\wedge\zeta+\rho\wedge\overline{\zeta},\\
d\rho &= 2\,\Lambda\wedge\rho+i\,\zeta\wedge\overline{\zeta},\\
d\zeta &= \Lambda\wedge\zeta+\frac{I_1}{\mathsf{a}^4}\,\sigma\wedge\rho+\frac{I_2}{\mathsf{a}^3}\,\sigma\wedge\zeta+\frac{I_3}{\mathsf{a}^3}\,\sigma\wedge\overline{\zeta}+\frac{I_4}{\mathsf{a}^2}\,\rho\wedge\zeta+\frac{I_5}{\mathsf{a}^2}\,\rho\wedge\overline{\zeta},\\
d\overline{\zeta} &= \Lambda\wedge\overline{\zeta}+\frac{\overline{I_1}}{\mathsf{a}^4}\,\sigma\wedge\rho+\frac{\overline{I_3}}{\mathsf{a}^3}\,\sigma\wedge\zeta+\frac{\overline{I_2}}{\mathsf{a}^3}\,\sigma\wedge\overline{\zeta}+\frac{\overline{I_5}}{\mathsf{a}^2}\,\rho\wedge\zeta+\frac{\overline{I_4}}{\mathsf{a}^2}\,\rho\wedge\overline{\zeta},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the invariants $I_i,\ i=2\ldots5$, are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{I_2} = {}& \frac{i}{8}\,\frac{Q\mathscr{L}(B)^2}{B^{\frac12}}-\frac{i}{8}\,B^{\frac12}\mathscr{L}(B)Q^2-\frac{3i}{4}\,\frac{\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{L}(B))\mathscr{L}(B)}{B^{\frac12}}+\frac{i}{4}\,B^{\frac12}\mathscr{L}(B)\mathscr{L}(Q)\\
&-\frac{i}{2}\,B^{\frac12}P\mathscr{L}(B)-\frac{i}{4}\,B^{\frac12}Q\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{L}(B))-\frac{i}{4}B^{\frac12}Q\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{L}(B))\\
&-\frac{3i}{4}\,B^{\frac32}Q\mathscr{L}(Q)+\frac{i}{2}\,B^{\frac32}PQ+\frac{3i}{8}\,\frac{\mathscr{L}(B)^3}{B^{\frac32}}+\frac{i}{8}\,B^{\frac32}Q^3\\
&+\frac{i}{2}\,B^{\frac32}\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{L}(Q))+\frac{i}{2}\,B^{\frac12}\,\mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{L}(B)\right)\right)-iB^{\frac32}\mathscr{L}(P)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_3 = {}& -\mathbf{D}_0\mathbf{C}_0+\frac{\mathscr{L}(B)}{B^{\frac12}}\,\mathbf{D}_0+B^{\frac12}Q\mathbf{D}_0+\frac{A}{B^{\frac12}}\,\mathbf{D}_0-\frac{\overline{\mathscr{L}}\,(\mathbf{D}_0)}{B^{\frac12}}-i\,\mathbf{B}_0\mathbf{D}_0+i\,\mathbf{B}_0^2\mathbf{C}_0\\
&-\frac{A}{B^{\frac12}}\,\mathbf{B}_0\mathbf{C}_0+\mathbf{B}_0\,\mathscr{L}(A)+BP\,\mathbf{B}_0+\frac{\overline{\mathscr{L}}\,(\mathbf{B}_0)}{B^{\frac12}}\,\mathbf{C}_0+\frac12\,\frac{\overline{\mathscr{L}}(B)}{B^{\frac32}}\,\mathbf{B}_0\mathbf{C}_0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\overline{I_4} = \frac34\,i\,\frac{\mathscr{L}(B)^2}{B}+\frac16\,i\,\mathscr{L}(B)\,Q+\frac{11}{36}\,i\,B\,Q^2-i\,\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{L}(B))-\frac23\,i\,B\,\mathscr{L}(Q)+i\,BP,
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{I_5} = {}& \frac{i}{3}\,\mathscr{L}(A)+\frac{i}{3}\,\overline{\mathscr{L}}(Q)-i\,\frac{\overline{\mathscr{L}}(\mathscr{L}(B))}{B}+\frac{5}{12}\,i\frac{\mathscr{L}(B)^2}{B}-\frac{i}{3}\,B\mathscr{L}(Q)\\
&+\frac{11}{36}\,i\,B\,Q^2+i\,B\,P+\frac{2}{3}\,i\,\frac{\mathscr{L}(\overline{\mathscr{L}}(B))}{B}-\frac{i}{3}\,\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{L}(B))\\
&+\frac{i}{3}\,\frac{A\,\mathscr{L}(B)}{B}+\frac{7}{18}\,i\,\mathscr{L}(B)\,Q-\frac{i}{9}\,\frac{\overline{\mathscr{L}}(B)\,Q}{B}+\frac{i}{9}\,A\,Q,
\end{aligned}
$$

and I_1 is given by:

$$
I_1=\frac{2i}{3}\,(I_3)_\zeta-\frac{2i}{3}\,(I_2)_{\overline{\zeta}}.
$$

The exterior derivative of Λ can be determined by taking the exterior derivative of the four equations (4), which leads to the so-called Bianchi-Cartan's identities. For example, taking the exterior derivative of the first

equation of (4), one gets:

$$0 = \left[3\, d\Lambda + \left(\frac{I_2}{\mathsf{a}^3} + \frac{\overline{I_3}}{\mathsf{a}^3} \right) \rho \wedge \zeta + \left(\frac{\overline{I_2}}{\mathsf{a}^3} + \frac{I_3}{\mathsf{a}^3} \right) \rho \wedge \zeta \right] \wedge \sigma,$$

while taking the exterior derivative of the second equation gives:

$$0 = \left[2\, d\Lambda - i \frac{I_1}{\mathsf{a}^4}\, \sigma \wedge \overline{\zeta} + i\, \frac{\overline{I_1}}{\mathsf{a}^4}\, \sigma \wedge \zeta \right] \wedge \rho.$$

Eventually we get:
(5)

$$d\Lambda = \frac{i}{2} \frac{I_1}{\mathsf{a}^4}\, \sigma \wedge \overline{\zeta} - \frac{i}{2}\, \frac{\overline{I_1}}{\mathsf{a}^4}\, \sigma \wedge \zeta - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{I_2}{\mathsf{a}^3} + \frac{\overline{I_3}}{\mathsf{a}^3} \right) \rho \wedge \zeta - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\overline{I_2}}{\mathsf{a}^3} + \frac{I_3}{\mathsf{a}^3} \right) \rho \wedge \zeta + \frac{I_0}{\mathsf{a}^4}\, \sigma \wedge \zeta\ ,$$

where I_0 is given by:

$$I_0 := -\frac{1}{2\mathsf{a}^4} \left(I_1\right)_\zeta - \frac{1}{2\mathsf{a}^4}\ \left(\overline{I_1}\right)_{\overline{\zeta}}.$$

4. Cartan Connection

We recall that the model for CR-manifolds belonging to general class II is Beloshapka's cubic $\mathsf{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$, which is defined by the equations:

$$\mathsf{B}: \qquad \begin{aligned} w_1 &= \overline{w_1} + 2\, i\, z\overline{z}, \\ w_2 &= \overline{w_2} + 2\, i\, z\overline{z}\, (z + \overline{z})\, . \end{aligned}$$

Its Lie algebra of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms is given by the following theorem:

Theorem 2. [12]. *Beloshapka's cubic,*

$$\mathsf{B}: \qquad \begin{aligned} w_1 &= \overline{w_1} + 2\, i\, z\overline{z}, \\ w_2 &= \overline{w_2} + 2\, i\, z\overline{z}\, (z + \overline{z})\, , \end{aligned}$$

has a $\mathbf{5}$*-dimensional Lie algebra of CR-automorphisms* $\mathsf{aut}_{\mathsf{CR}}(\mathsf{B})$*. A basis for the Maurer-Cartan forms of* $\mathsf{aut}_{\mathsf{CR}}(\mathsf{B})$ *is provided by the* 5 *differential* 1*-forms* σ*,* ρ*,* ζ*,* $\overline{\zeta}$*,* α*, which satisfy the structure equations:*

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma &= 3\, \alpha \wedge \sigma\, + \rho \wedge \zeta\, + \rho \wedge \overline{\zeta}\ , \\ d\rho &= 2\, \alpha \wedge \rho + i\ \zeta \wedge \overline{\zeta}\ , \\ d\zeta &= \alpha \wedge \zeta\ , \\ d\overline{\zeta} &= \alpha \wedge \overline{\zeta}\ , \\ d\alpha &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us write $\mathfrak{g}$ instead of $\mathsf{aut}_{\mathsf{CR}}(\mathsf{B})$ for the Lie algebra of inifinitesimal automorphisms of Beloshapka's cubic, and let $\big(e_\alpha, e_\sigma, e_\rho, e_\zeta, e_{\overline{\zeta}}\big)$ be the dual basis of the basis of Maurer-Cartan 1-forms: $\big(\alpha, \sigma, \rho, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}\big)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. From the above structure equations, the Lie brackets structure of $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[e_\alpha, e_\sigma] = -3\, e_\sigma, && [e_\alpha, e_\rho] = -2\, e_\rho, && [e_\alpha, e_\zeta] = -\, e_\zeta,\\
&[e_\alpha, e_{\overline{\zeta}}] = -\, e_{\overline{\zeta}}, && [e_\rho, e_\zeta] = -e_\sigma, && [e_\rho, e_{\overline{\zeta}}] = -e_\sigma,\\
&[e_\zeta, e_{\overline{\zeta}}] = -i\, e_\rho,
\end{aligned}
$$

the remaining brackets being equal to zero.

We refer to [5], p. 127-128, for the definition of a Cartan connection. Let $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the subalgebra spanned by e_α, $\mathfrak{G}$ the connected, simply connected Lie group whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{G}_0$ the connected closed 1-dimensional subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}$ generated by $\mathfrak{g}_0$. We notice that $\mathfrak{G}_0 \cong G_4$, so that P^4 is a principal bundle over M with structure group $\mathfrak{G}_0$, and that $\dim \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{G}_0 = \dim M = 4$.

Let $\big(\Lambda, \sigma, \rho, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}\big)$ be the coframe of 1-forms on P^4 whose structure equation are given by (4) – (5) and ω the 1-form on P with values in $\mathfrak{g}$ defined by:

$$\omega(X) := \Lambda(X)\, e_\alpha + \sigma(X)\, e_\sigma + \rho(X)\, e_\rho + \zeta(X)\, e_\zeta, + \overline{\zeta}(X)\, e_{\overline{\zeta}},$$

for $X \in T_pP^4$. We have:

Theorem 3. *ω is a Cartan connection on P^4.*

Proof. We shall check that the following three conditions hold:

(1) $\omega(e_\alpha^*) = e_\alpha$, where e_α^* is the vertical vector field on P^4 generated by the action of e_α,
(2) $R_a^*\, \omega = \mathsf{Ad}(a^{-1})\, \omega$ for every $a \in \mathfrak{G}_0$,
(3) for each $p \in P^4$, ω_p is an isomorphism $T_pP^4 \xrightarrow{\omega_p} \mathfrak{g}$.

Condition (3) is trivially satisfied as $\big(\Lambda, \sigma, \rho, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}\big)$ is a coframe on P^4 and thus defines a basis of $T_p^*P^4$ at each point p.

Condition (1) follows simply from the fact that Λ is a modified-Maurer Cartan form on P^4:

$$\Lambda = \frac{d\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a}} + \frac{W^\rho_{\sigma\rho}}{2}\, \rho - \frac{W^\sigma_{\sigma\rho}}{3}\, \sigma - \frac{W^\sigma_{\sigma\rho}}{3}\, \zeta - \frac{W^\sigma_{\sigma\overline{\zeta}}}{3}\, \overline{\zeta},$$

so that

$$\omega(e_\alpha^*) = \Lambda(e_{\alpha^*}) = e_\alpha,$$

as

$$\sigma(e_\alpha^*) = \rho(e_\alpha^*) = \zeta(e_\alpha^*) = \overline{\zeta}(e_\alpha^*) = 0, \qquad \frac{d\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a}}(e_\alpha^*) = 1,$$

since e^*_α is a vertical vector field on P^4.

Condition (2) is equivalent to its infinitesimal counterpart:

$$\mathscr{L}_{e^*_\alpha}\,\omega = -\mathsf{ad}_{e_\alpha}\omega,$$

where $\mathscr{L}_{e^*_\alpha}\,\omega$ is the Lie derivative of ω by the vector field e^*_α and where ad_{e_α} is the linear map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ defined by: $\mathsf{ad}_{e_\alpha}(X) = [e_\alpha, X]$. We determine $\mathscr{L}_{e^*_\alpha}\,\omega$ with the help of Cartan's formula:

$$\mathscr{L}_{e^*_\alpha}\,\omega = e_{\alpha^*} \lrcorner\, d\omega + d\left(e^*_\alpha \lrcorner\, \omega\right),$$

with

$$d\left(e^*_\alpha \lrcorner\, \omega\right) = 0$$

from condition (1). The structure equations (4)–(5) give:

$$e_{\alpha^*} \lrcorner\, d\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 3\,\sigma \\ 2\,\rho \\ \zeta \\ \overline{\zeta} \end{pmatrix},$$

which is easily seen being equal to $-\mathsf{ad}_{e_\alpha}\omega$ from the Lie bracket structure of $\mathfrak{g}$. □

From theorem 3, the structure equations (4) and (5), and the fact that the invariants I_0 and I_1 are expressed in terms of I_2, I_3, I_4, I_5, we have:

Theorem 4. *A CR-manifold M belonging to general class* II *is locally biholomorphic to Beloshapka's cubic $\mathsf{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ if and only if the condition*

$$I_2 \equiv I_3 \equiv I_4 \equiv I_5 \equiv 0$$

holds locally on M.

REFERENCES

[1] Beloshapka, V.K.; Ezhov, V.; Schmalz, G.: *Canonical Cartan connection and holomorphic invariants on Engel CR manifolds*, Russian J. Mathematical Physics **14** (2007), no. 2, 121–133.

[2] Cartan, E.: *Sur la géométrie pseudo-conforme des hypersurfaces de l'espace de deux variables complexes*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **11** (1933), no. 1, 17–90.

[3] Cartan, E.: *Sur la géométrie pseudo-conforme des hypersurfaces de l'espace de deux variables complexes II*, Ann. Scuola. Norm. Sup. Pisa **1** (1932), no. 4, 333–354.

[4] Ezhov, V.; McLaughlin, B.; Schmalz, G.: *From Cartan to Tanaka: Getting Real in the Complex World*, Notices of the AMS **58** (2011), no. 1.

[5] Kobayashi, S.: *Transformation groups in differential geometry*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, **70**, Springer-Verlag, New-York Heidelberg Berlin, 1972.

[6] Merker, J.: *Equivalences of 5-dimensional CR manifolds, III: Six models and (very) elementary normalizations*, 54 pages, arxiv.org/abs/1311.7522.

[7] Merker, J.: *Equivalences of* 5*-dimensional CR-manifolds, IV: Six ambiguity matrix groups, (Initial G-structures), 34 pages, arxiv.org/abs/1312.1084*

[8] Merker, J.: *Equivalences of 5-dimensional CR-manifolds V: Six initial frames and coframes; Explicitness obstacles, 63 pages, arxiv.org/abs/1312.3581*

[9] Merker, J.; Pocchiola, S.; Sabzevari, M.: *Equivalences of* 5*-dimensional CR-manifolds, II: General classes I, II, III-1, III-2, IV-1, IV-2*, arxiv.org/abs/1311.5669.

[10] Olver, P.J.: *Equivalence, Invariance and Symmetries*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995, xvi+525 pp.

[11] Pocchiola, S.: *Absolute parallelism for* 2*-nondegenerate real hypersurfaces* $M^5 \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ *of constant Levi rank* 1, arxiv.org/abs/1312.6400, 56 pp.

[12] Pocchiola, S.: *Lie algebras of infinitesimal CR-automorphisms for the model manifolds of general classes* II, III_2, IV_2, 44 pp.

[13] Pocchiola, S.: *Canonical Cartan connection for* 5*-dimensional CR-manifolds belonging to general class* III_2, 19 pp.

[14] Poincaré, H.: Les fonctions analytiques de deux variables et la représentation conforme, *Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo*, **23**, (1907), 185-220.

[15] Sternberg, S.: *Lectures on Differential Geometry*. Prentice-Hall mathematical series, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1964, xv+390 pp.

SAMUEL POCCHIOLA — DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, BÂTIMENT 425, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES D'ORSAY, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SUD, F-91405 ORSAY CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: samuel.pocchiola@math.u-psud.fr